RENE L. VALLADARES
Federal Public Defender
Nevada State Bar No. 11479
JOANNE L. DIAMOND
Assistant Federal Public Defender
411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-6577
Joanne_Diamond@fd.org

Attorney for Joshua A. Martinez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSHUA A. MARTINEZ,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cr-00219-APG-DJA

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE MOTION DEADLINES AND TRIAL DATES

(Second Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Christopher Chiou, Acting United States Attorney, and Daniel Schiess, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Rene L. Valladares, Federal Public Defender, and Joanne L. Diamond, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for Joshua Martinez, that the calendar call currently scheduled for April 19, 2022 at 9:00 a.m., and the trial scheduled for April 25, 2022 at 9:00 a.m., be vacated and set to a date and time convenient to this Court, but no sooner than ninety (90) days.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, that the parties herein shall have to and including May 9, 2022, to file any and all pretrial motions and notices of defense.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, that they shall have to and including May 23, 2022, to file any and all responsive pleadings.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, that they shall have to and including May 31, 2022, to file any and all replies to dispositive motions.

The Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. Counsel for the defendant needs additional time to conduct investigation in this case in order to determine whether there are any pretrial issues that must be litigated and whether the case will ultimately go to trial or will be resolved through negotiations.
 - 2. The defendant is out of custody and does not object to the continuance.
 - 3. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and complete investigation of the discovery materials provided.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

This is the second stipulation to continue filed herein.

DATED this 7th day of February, 2022.

RENE L. VALLADARES	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH
Federal Public Defender	United States Attorney
By <u>/s/ Joanne L. Diamond</u> JOANNE L. DIAMOND Assistant Federal Public Defender	By <u>/s/ Daniel Schiess</u> DANIEL SCHIESS Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSHUA A. MARTINEZ,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cr-00219-APG-DJA

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. Counsel for the defendant needs additional time to conduct investigation in this case in order to determine whether there are any pretrial issues that must be litigated and whether the case will ultimately go to trial or will be resolved through negotiations.
 - 2. The defendant is out of custody and does not object to the continuance.
 - 3. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and complete investigation of the discovery materials provided.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section § 3161 (h)(7)(A), when the considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties herein shall have to and including May 9, 2022 to file any and all pretrial motions and notice of defense.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including May 23, 2022 to file any and all responses.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including May 31, 2022 to file any and all replies.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, proposed jury instructions, and a list of the Government's prospective witnesses must be electronically submitted to the Court by the 19th day of July, 2022, by the hour of 12:00 p.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the calendar call currently scheduled for April 19, 2022, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to <u>July 19, 2022</u> at the hour of 9:00 a.m.; and the trial currently scheduled for April 25, 2022, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to July 25, 2022, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. in courtroom 6C.

DATED this 8th day of February, 2022.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE